

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT SEATTLE

6 FRANK DOLAN,

7
8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 SENTRY CREDIT, INC., et al.,

11 Defendants.
12

CASE NO. C17-1632 RAJ

ORDER

13 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' motion to strike Plaintiff's jury
14 demand. Dkt. # 14. Plaintiff served his jury demand well within the fourteen day window
15 required by Rule 38(b)(1). Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b)(1); Dkt. # 22 at 2. On November 29, 2018,
16 Plaintiff filed the jury demand. Dkt. # 13. This was within two weeks of serving the demand on
17 Defendants, and only two days outside the original fourteen day window within which the
18 demand had to be served. Plaintiff therefore filed the demand "within a reasonable time after
19 service" pursuant to Rule 38(b)(2). Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b)(2) (directing parties to file their jury
20 demands according to Rule 5(d)'s "reasonable time" requirement and *not* according to Rule
21 38(b)(1)'s fourteen day requirement). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Defendants' motion.
Dkt. # 14.

22 Dated this 24th day of April, 2018.
23

24
25 

26 The Honorable Richard A. Jones
27 United States District Judge